

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

1a. AD-A219 877			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKING SECRET	
2a. AD-A219 877			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Unlimited	
2b. AD-A219 877			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) AFOSR-TR. 90-0339 AFOSR 86-0116	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Electronics Research Lab		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) NR		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Air Force Office of Scientific Research
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) University of California Berkeley, CA 94720		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Bldg. 410 Bolling Air Force Base, DC 20332-6448		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION AFOSR		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) NR		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER AFOSR-86-0116
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Bldg. 410 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 61102	PROJECT NO. 2304	TASK NO. A1
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Numerical Optimization, System Theoretic and Software Tools for the Integrated Design of Flexible Structures and Their Control Systems				
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) E. Polak				
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final Report		13b. TIME COVERED FROM 9/30/86 TO 9/29/89		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 2/16/90
15. PAGE COUNT 10				
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION				
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) The research covered by this report was aimed at developing a broad, optimization-based methodology for use in computer-aided-design of engineering systems. To this end, research was carried out in the following areas: (i) the development of a theory which can be used as a general guide in the construction of semi-infinite optimization, shape optimization and optimal control algorithms; (ii) the development of various new semi-infinite optimization and optimal control algorithms; (iii) the development of techniques for formulating system stability and worst-case requirements as well-conditioned semi-infinite inequalities; (iv) the exploration of the use of optimization in the design of control systems; and finally, (v) interactive software for optimization-based control system design. (KR)				
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL DR. MARC JACOBS			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (202) 767-5027	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL NR

NUMERICAL OPTIMIZATION, SYSTEM THEORETIC AND SOFTWARE TOOLS FOR THE INTEGRATED DESIGN OF FLEXIBLE STRUCTURES AND THEIR CONTROL SYSTEMS

Final Technical Report
AFOSR Grant 86-0116
(September 30, 1986 — September 29, 1989)

Elijah Polak
Principal Investigators

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences
and the Electronics Research Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

90 03 28 155

**NUMERICAL OPTIMIZATION, SYSTEM THEORETIC AND SOFTWARE TOOLS
FOR THE INTEGRATED DESIGN OF FLEXIBLE STRUCTURES
AND THEIR CONTROL SYSTEMS**

Elijah Polak

Grant No.: AFOSR-86-0116

FINAL REPORT

September 30, 1986 to September 29, 1989.

ABSTRACT

The research covered by this report was aimed at developing a broad, optimization-based methodology for use in computer-aided-design of engineering systems. To this end, research was carried out in the following areas: (i) the development of a theory which can be used as a general guide in the construction of semi-infinite optimization, shape optimization and optimal control algorithms; (ii) the development of various new semi-infinite optimization and optimal control algorithms (iii) the development of techniques for formulating system stability and worst-case requirements as well-conditioned semi-infinite inequalities; (iv) the exploration of the use of optimization in the design of control systems; and finally, (v) interactive software for optimization-based control system design.

Five doctoral dissertations were completed during this period [14, 15, 20, 41, 42].

FINAL REPORT

Our accomplishments over the three year period of September 30, 1986 to September 29, 1989 can be organized as follows:

(a) Nonsmooth Optimization Algorithm Theory

In [7], which is a 70 page paper, we have presented our view of the mathematical foundations of nondifferentiable optimization in engineering design. The theory presented in [7] not only helps to understand existing nondifferentiable optimization algorithms, but it also provides guidelines for the development of new ones. In particular, the theory in [7] points out the possibility of the construction of self scaling algorithms.

(b) Self Scaling Minimax Algorithms

When linear multivariable feedback-system controllers are affinely parametrized, as is commonly done in H^∞ design, the resulting optimal design problems are convex. However, the affine parametrization can also introduce severe ill-conditioning. To determine what effect domain transformations might have on minimax algorithm performance, we undertook a couple of studies of the effects of transformations on rate of convergence, [13, 21, 22]. We showed that an adaptively constructed domain transformation technique results in much improved minimax algorithms.

(c) Consistent Discretization Techniques for Semi-infinite Optimization and Optimal Control

Since discretization cannot be avoided in the solution of semi-infinite optimization and optimal control problems, we have developed in [27, 36, 39, 40] several discretizations strategies which are consistent with convergence and fast solution of semi-infinite optimization and optimal control problems. These are to be used in the solution of control system design problems in which one shapes various closed-loop responses as well as in solution of optimal control problems with ODE as well as with PDE dynamics.

(d) Semi-infinite Optimization Algorithms for Problems with Exclusion Constraints

Exclusion constraints occur in floor planning and other layout problems as well as in robot path planning. In [3] we have developed an algorithm for the solution of optimization problems with *exclusion* constraints, which are combinatoric in nature and which arise integrated-circuit macro-cell placement problems, as a result of nonoverlap requirements. We have developed a particularly efficient formulation of the problem of placement of macro-cells in [16], in the form of an optimization problem with exclusion constraints, and have carried out computational experiments to test it.

(e) Extension of Newton's Method to Semi-infinite Minimax Problems

In [9] we have presented an efficient generalization of Newton's method for the minimization of the maximum of a finite number of functions. In [37], an ingenious, novel technique is used to develop a superlinearly converging version of Newton's method for semi-infinite minimax problems. The algorithm is shown to converge with root rate at least $3/2$.

(f) Efficient Search Direction Computation Subprocedures

Search direction computations consume a considerable amount of time in the course of semi-infinite optimization of engineering designs. In [26] we have proposed a new, highly efficient method for this purpose.

(g) Unified Phase I - Phase II Algorithms

Current phase I - phase II constrained semi-infinite minimax algorithms exhibit a certain amount of undesirable discontinuity in the way they behave in the transition from the infeasible region to the feasible region. This is due to the fact that they switch step size rules in going across this boundary. In [32] we present a new unified phase I - phase II method of feasible directions for semi-infinite optimization. It has the unique property that in phase II it constructs iterates well away from the boundary of the feasible set, and as a result it is the only algorithm in its class for which there now exists a theoretically justified implementation; in fact, it was shown in [40] that this algorithm can be implemented with rate of convergence preservation.

(h) Barrier Function Methods

Prof. C. Gonzaga, one of our collaborators, has explored the possibility of improving Karmarkar's linear programming algorithm, in a preliminary stage to the development of interior penalty function algorithms for minimax problems.

In [28], the linear programming problem is transcribed into a non-linear programming problem in which Karmarkar's logarithmic potential function is minimized in the positive cone generated by the original feasible set. The resulting problem is then solved by a master algorithm that iteratively rescales the problem and calls an internal unconstrained non-linear programming algorithm. Several different procedures for the internal algorithm are proposed, giving priority either to the reduction of the potential function or of the actual cost. Karmarkar's algorithm is equivalent to the method in this paper in the special case when the internal algorithm is reduced to a single steepest descent iteration. All variants of the new algorithm have the same complexity as Karmarkar's method, but the amount of computation is reduced by the fact that only one projection matrix must be calculated for each call of the internal algorithm.

Reference [29] describes a short-step penalty function algorithm that solves linear programming problems in no more than $O(n^{0.5}L)$ iterations. The total number of arithmetic operations is bounded by $O(n^3L)$, carried on with the same precision as that in Karmarkar's algorithm. Each iteration updates a penalty multiplier and solves a Newton-Raphson iteration on the traditional logarithmic barrier function using approximated Hessian matrices. The resulting sequence follows the path of optimal solutions for the penalized functions as in a predictor-corrector homotopy algorithm.

More recently see [31, 38], we have found an interior penalty approach can also be used to construct highly effective semi-infinite minimax algorithms. These algorithms have been found to outperform other first order semi-infinite minimax algorithms, both in speed and in robustness; furthermore, they have a particularly simple structure which gives them great advantages in real time applications

where algorithms are hard-coded.

(i) Algorithms for the Solution of Optimal Control Problems with State and Control Constraints, ODE/PDE Dynamics

In [5] we have presented an exact penalty function algorithm for the solution of optimal control problems with ordinary differential equation dynamics, state, and control constraints. In [20, 30, 39] this algorithm was extended to apply to problems with partial differential equation dynamics. Our new discretization theory was used to produce an implementable version of this algorithm and it was found that the new discretization techniques result in significantly more efficient implementations than those produced using the earlier Klessig-Polak theory. The resulting algorithm was used in computational experiments in the optimal slewing of flexible structures, which were described in [17].

(j) Stability Tests and Loop-Shaping Requirement Specification

In [8] we have presented a new stability test for linear, time invariant multivariable feedback systems, in the form of a differentiable semi-infinite inequality, and have illustrated its use in the design of stabilizing compensators via semi-infinite optimization. In [23], we presented a version of this test which can be used in the design of *finite dimensional* controllers for infinite dimensional feedback-systems via semi-infinite optimization. The applicability of this test to systems with point actuators and sensors was extended in [33]. In [18] we presented a coherent approach to semi-infinite optimization-based design of both open-loop and closed-loop control systems for flexible structures. In particular, we showed that frequency domain design of closed loop systems, using our stability test, produces finite-dimensional controllers without spillover effects. In [24] we have shown that quite simple PID type finite dimensional controllers can be used to stabilize a class of feedback-controlled flexible structures.

(k) Design of Control Systems via Constrained Optimization in H^∞

In [11, 12] and [14], we have explored various aspects of the design of linear multivariable feedback-systems via constrained semi-infinite optimization in H^∞ spaces. These included a study of expansions of the controller in a series, development of expressions for both time- and frequency-domain loop shaping, as well as an exploration of the numerical properties of the ensuing *convex* optimal design problem.

(l) Novel Control Schemes and Computational Procedures

In [6] we have presented a novel adaptive control scheme for ARMA plants. The scheme is

No. 5, pp. 388-397, 1987.

- [7] E. Polak, "On the Mathematical Foundations of Nondifferentiable Optimization in Engineering Design", *SIAM Review*, pp. 21-91, March 1987.
- [8] E. Polak and S. Wu, "On the Design of Stabilizing Compensators via Semi-Infinite Optimization", *University of California, Berkeley, Electronics Research Laboratory Memo No. M86/102*, Oct. 24, 1986. *IEEE Trans. on Control*, Vol. 34, No.2, pp 196-100, 1989.
- [9] E. Polak, D. Q. Mayne and J. Higgins, "A Superlinearly Convergent Algorithm for Min-Max Problems", *University of California, Berkeley, Electronics Research Laboratory Memo No. M86/103*, Nov. 15, 1986. *Proc. 28th IEEE CDC*, Tampa, Florida, Dec. 1989. Full length version to appear in *JOTA*
- [10] E. Polak, "A Perspective on Control System Design by Means of Semi-Infinite Optimization Algorithms", *Proc. IFIP Working Conference on Optimization Techniques*, Santiago, Chile, Aug. 1984. Springer Verlag. 1987
- [11] E. Polak and S. E. Salcudean, "On The Design of Linear Multivariable Feedback Systems via Constrained Nondifferentiable Optimization in H^∞ Spaces", *IEEE Trans on Automatic Control*, Vol. 34, No.3, pp 268-176, 1989.
- [12] E. Polak and S. E. Salcudean, "Algorithms for Optimal Feedback Design", *Proc. International Symposium on the Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems (MTNS/87)*, Phoenix, Arizona, June 15-19, 1987:
C. I. Byrnes, C. F. Martin, and R. E. Saeks eds., *Linear Circuits, Systems and Signal Processing: Theory and Applications*, Elsevier Science Pub. B.V. (North Holland), 1988.
- [13] E. Polak, C. Gonzaga and J. Wiest, "Linear Convergence of Semi-Infinite Programming Algorithms", Presented at the *11th Triennial IFORS Conference on Operations Research*, Buenos Aires, Argentina, August 10-14, 1987.
- [14] S. E. Salcudean, "Algorithms for Optimal Design of Feedback Compensators", Ph.D. Thesis, *University of California, Berkeley*, December 1987.
- [15] Tzi-Li Wu, "DELIGHT.MIMO: An Interactive System for Optimization-Based Multivariable Control System Design", Ph.D. Thesis, *University of California, Berkeley*, December 1987.
- [16] S. Daijavad, E. Polak, and R-S Tsay, "A Combined Deterministic and Random Optimization Algorithm for the Placement of Macro-Cells", *University of California, Berkeley, Electronics Research Laboratory Memo No. UCB/ERL M87/86*, Nov. 20, 1987. *Proc. MCNC International Workshop on Placement and Routing*, Research Triangle Park, NC, May 10-13, 1988.
- [17] T. E. Baker and E. Polak, "Computational Experiments in the Optimal Slewing of Flexible Structures", *University of California, Berkeley, Electronics Research Laboratory Memo No. UCB/ERL M87/72*, Sept. 1, 1987. *Proc. Second NASA/Air Force Symposium on Recent Advances in Multidisciplinary Analysis and Optimization*, Hampton, Va., Sept. 28-30, 1988.
- [18] E. Polak, T. E. Baker, T-L. Wu and Y-P. Harn "Optimization-Based Design of Control Systems for Flexible Structures", *Proc. 4-th Annual NASA SCOLE Workshop*, Colorado Springs, December 1987.
- [19] E. Polak, "Minimax Algorithms for Structural Optimization", *Proc. IUTAM Symposium on Structural Optimization*, Melbourne, Australia, Feb. 9 - 13, 1988.
- [20] T. Baker, "Algorithms for Optimal Control of Systems Described by partial and Ordinary Differential Equations", Ph.D. Thesis, *University of California, Berkeley*, January 1988.
- [21] E. Polak and E. J. Wiest, "Domain Rescaling Techniques for the Solution of Affinely Parametrized Nondifferentiable Optimal Design Problems", *Proc. 27th IEEE Conference on Dec. and Contr*, Austin, Tx., Dec. 7-9 1988. Dec. 1988.

- [22] E. Polak and E. J. Wiest, "A Variable Metric Technique for the Solution of Affinely Parametrized Nondifferentiable Optimal Design Problems", *University of California, Berkeley, Electronics Research Laboratory Memo No. UCB/ERL M88/42*, 10 June 1988. *JOTA*, in Press.
- [23] Y-P. Harn and E. Polak, "On the Design of Finite Dimensional Controllers for Infinite Dimensional Feedback-Systems via Semi-Infinite Optimization", *Proc. 27th IEEE Conference on Dec. and Contr.*, Austin, Tx., Dec. 7-9 1988. *IEEE Trans. on Auto. Contr.*, in press.
- [24] Y-P. Harn and E. Polak, "Proportional-Plus-Integral Stabilizing Compensators for a Class of MIMO Feedback Systems with Infinite-Dimensional Plants", *University of California, Berkeley, Electronics Research Laboratory Memo No. UCB/ERL M87/34*, 23 May, 1988. *IEEE Trans. on Auto. Contr.*, in press.
- [25] E. Polak and D. M. Stimler, "Majorization: a Computational Complexity Reduction Technique in Control System Design", *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Contr.*, Vol. 33, No.11, pp 1010-1022, 1988.
- [26] J. E. Higgins and E. Polak, "Minimizing Pseudo-Convex Functions on Convex Compact Sets", *University of California, Berkeley, Electronics Research Laboratory Memo No. UCB/ERL M88/22*, March 1988. *JOTA* in press.
- [27] L. He and E. Polak, "An Optimal Diagonalization Strategy for the Solution of a Class of Optimal design Problems", *University of California, Berkeley, Electronics Research Laboratory Memo No. UCB/ERL M88/41*, 6 June 1988. *IEEE, Trans. on Automatic Contr.*, in press.
- [28] C. Gonzaga, "Conical Projection Algorithm for Linear Programming," *Math. Programming*, Vol. 43, pp 15-173, 1989.
- [29] C. Gonzaga, "An Algorithm for Solving Linear Programming Problems in $O(n^3L)$ Operations," pp 1-18, in N. Megiddo, ed., *Progress in Mathematical Programming*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [30] T. E. Baker and E. Polak, "An Algorithm for Optimal Slewing of Flexible Structures", *University of California, Electronics Research Laboratory, Memo UCB/ERL M89/37*, 11 April 1989. Submitted to *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*.
- [31] E. Polak, J. Higgins and D. Q. Mayne, "A Barrier Function Method for Minimax Problems", *University of California, Electronics Research Laboratory, Memo UCB/ERL M88/64*, 20 October 1988. submitted to *Math.Progr.*
- [32] E. Polak and L. He, "A Unified Phase I Phase II Method of Feasible Directions for Semi-infinite Optimization", *University of California, Electronics Research Laboratory, Memo UCB/ERL M89/7*, 3 February 1989. to appear in *JOTA*.
- [34] E. Polak, "Nonsmooth Optimization Algorithms for the Design of Controlled Flexible Structures", *Proc. AMS-SIAM-IMS Joint Summer Research Conf. on Dynamics and Control of Multi-body Systems*, July 30- August 5, 1988, Bowdoin College, Brunswick, Maine. *Contemporary Mathematics* Vol. 97, pp 337-371, J. F. Marsden, P. S. Krishnaprasad, and J. C. Simo eds., American Math Soc., Providence RI, 1989.
University of California, Electronics Research Laboratory, Memo UCB/ERL M89/10, 8 February 1989.
- [35] E. Polak, "Basics of Minimax Algorithms", *Proc. Fourth Course of the International School of Mathematics on Nonsmooth Optimization and Related Topics* Erice, Italy, June 19 - July 8 1988. Published as (pp 343-367): *Nonsmooth Optimization and Related Topics*, F. H. Clarke, V. F. Dem'yanov and F. Giannessi eds., Plenum Press, New York, 1989.
- [36] L. He and E. Polak, "Effective Discretization Strategies in Optimal Design", *Proceedings 28th IEEE CDC*, Tampa, FL., December 12-14, 1989.

- [37] E. Polak, D. Q. Mayne, and J. Higgins, "On the Extension of Newton's Method to Semi-Infinite Minimax Problems", *University of California, Electronics Research Laboratory*, Memo UCB/ERL M89/92, 1 August, 1989. Submitted to SICOPT
- [38] J. Higgins and E. Polak, "An ϵ -active Barrier Function Method for Solving Minimax Problems", *University of California, Electronics Research Laboratory*, Memo M89/94, August 4, 1989. To appear in JAMO.
- [38] E. J. Wiest and E. Polak, "On the Rate of Convergence of Two Minimax Algorithms", *University of California, Electronics Research Laboratory*, Memo UCB/ERL M89/111, 15 August, 1989. To appear in JOTA
- [39] T. E. Baker and E. Polak, "On the Optimal Control of Systems Described by Evolution Equations", *University of California, Electronics Research Laboratory*, Memo UCB/ERL M89/113, 27 September 1989. Submitted to SIAM J. Control.
- [40] E. Polak and L. He, "Rate Preserving Discretization Strategies for Semi-infinite Programming and Optimal Control", *University of California, Electronics Research Laboratory*, Memo UCB/ERL M89/112, 25 September 1989. Submitted to SIAM J. Control.
- [41] J. E. Higgins, "Algorithms for Optimization-Based Computer-Aided Design", Ph.D. Thesis, *University of California, Berkeley*, December 1989.
- [42] Y-P. Harn, "Optimization-Based Control System Design for Infinite Dimensional Systems", Ph.D. Thesis, *University of California, Berkeley*, December 1989.